

# Te Ārai

Palliative Care & End of Life Research Group



# Experiences of COVID19 in Aged Residential Care

Dr Jackie Robinson

Senior Lecturer and Nurse Practitioner, School of Nursing, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand



Lockdown Level 4

- Residents were scared and many did not understand
- Communication was difficult in PPE
- Screening questions not always helpful in people with chronic conditions
- Surge staff were not known to the residents
- Family unable to carry out their caring of residents
- Facility staff were stood down immediately but remained anxious and worried about the residents
- No time to discuss transfer to hospital as a choice for residents or family



# Impact on residential aged care

- Devastating impact of COVID-19 seen in aged residential care internationally
- Visitors to residential aged care facilities were prohibited for several weeks
- Restrictions tightened as the need to institute droplet precautions and full quarantine of residents takes effect



- Aim: to explore the impact of isolation and quarantine on health and wellbeing in residents of one aged care facility who experienced a COVID19 outbreak
- Study design: a concurrent exploratory two phased mixed methods study
- Study setting: experienced restricted visiting for several weeks before a sudden 3 week period of quarantine



### Phase 1

An analysis of interRAI LTCF assessments utilising variables related to overall health and wellbeing

- Prior to the 25<sup>th</sup> March (lockdown level 4) and after 8<sup>th</sup> June (lockdown level 1).
- Cognition, physical function, mood, psychosocial variables



### Phase 2

- To explore residents, family and staff views of the impact of isolation and quarantine on residents during lockdown
  - Recruitment:
    - permanent staff working prior to and during first or second lockdown
    - Next of kin as recorded in clinical notes (post and email invitation)
    - Residents of facility during first or second lockdown
  - *Sampling*: purposive sampling
  - **Data collection**: Semi structured telephone interviews with staff and family; face to face interviews with residents.



Characteristic (n=75)	Number	Percentage
Gender		
Female	34	45.3
Male	41	54.7
Age		
<65 years	5	6.7
66-75 y ears	18	24.0
76-85 years	26	34.7
86-95 years	23	30.7
>95 years	3	4.0
Ethnicity		
NZ European	43	57.3
Maori	6	8.0
Pacific	8	10.7
Chinese	2	2.7
Indian	3	4.0
Other	13	17.3
Primary diagnosis		
Cancer	14	18.7
Cardiac	10	13.3
Respiratory	5	6.7
Neurological	12	16.0
Dementia	17	22.7



# Findings

- No significant change in scores related to cognition or mood
- Negative impact on psychosocial scores particularly
  - Social relationships (11.28 c/t 10.30, *p=0.005*)
  - Strengths (5.34 c/t 5.21, *p=0.03*)
    - Maintaining strong relationships with family
    - Consistent positive outlook
    - Finding meaning in day to day life
- Negative impact on locomotion/walking (31.32 c/t 33.5, p=0.000)
- Positive impact on ADL Self functioning (7.0 c/t 5.06, p=0.016)



# Phase 2 – semi-structured interviews

- Residential care home staff (n=4)
  - Focused on experiences of how they thought the restrictions impacted on residents and what strategies they found useful in supporting residents
- Family/whanau (n=5)
  - Asked how they felt when the facility went into lockdown and what strategies they used to stay connected with their family member
- Residents (n=5)
  - Explored how they felt about not seeing family, staying in their room for extended periods and whether they felt their health and well being had been affected.



#### No difference in the day: a reflection of normality

I didn't miss anything because it made no difference to me, except when the girls came on. One lot comes in, the other one. Because I don't go in and out, I never miss nothing. No, I don't mind because I've got no visitors, hardly anyone, just the odd one on a Sunday. And no, it didn't bother me one bit. (Resident A)



#### Establishing and maintaining connection:

Absolutely dreadful to be honest. I was allowed to rush back to try and mention to my husband the reason why I wouldn't be coming back. And I, while he understood it at the moment, when I was there, he can't retain so he had no idea why I wasn't able to come. (Wife of a resident)



### Social connection: finding ways while staying safe

I used to have to go up, I'd go up to the petrol station late at night, there was no-one around, you'd see (laughing). I'd go out the back way and that. But if you use common-sense, it's alright, you know. It was a little bit frustrating, but, hey, that's the way it goes, you know. You know, when we knew about how other facilities were being affected, we were quite, no-one wanted it. So, you know, it's just part of life. It was hard in a way, but not, you know, yeah. (Resident)



## Staff

- Staying safe as a family; "we want to keep each other safe so we will do what we have to"
- Restricting residents to their rooms was extremely challenging but most were very accepting
- Technology used to maintain connection; letters and cards from family increased
- Mood of residents improved when usual care staff returned
- Too many people in the surge response team made communication difficult



# Summary

- Restrictions impacted negatively on some physical and psychosocial factors
- For some people being isolated from others was their norm
- Family worked hard to stay connected and were concerned about being forgotten by the resident
- There was a sense of residents making the most of a difficult situation to keep themselves and others safe
- Usual care home staff were missed by residents and impacted on their emotional wellbeing



Twitter: @JackieRob434

https://tearairesearchgroup.wordpress.com/

